

Contingent Futures: Theoretical Reconfigurations of Ukraine in the Post-Conflict Landscape

Douglas C. Youvan

doug@youvan.com

March 13, 2024

In "Contingent Futures: Theoretical Reconfigurations of Ukraine in the Post-Conflict Landscape," we embark on a speculative journey into the potential geopolitical reshaping of Ukraine, following hypothetical scenarios of conflict and division. This analysis draws from a rich historical canvas, legal principles, and ethical considerations, aiming to unravel the complex tapestry of possible future configurations of Ukrainian territory. By maintaining a stance of strict neutrality, this paper explores various theoretical divisions and their implications for Ukraine, the region, and the broader international community. Through a careful examination of historical precedents, current geopolitical frameworks, and the delicate interplay of cultural, linguistic, and ethnic factors, we offer insights into the potential outcomes and challenges of territorial reconfigurations. This scholarly endeavor seeks not to predict but to understand the myriad possibilities that could arise from such significant geopolitical shifts, emphasizing the importance of informed, principled speculation in the face of uncertainty.

Keywords: Ukraine, geopolitical reconfiguration, post-conflict landscape, territorial division, speculative analysis, historical precedents, international law, regional security, national identity, self-determination, neutrality, theoretical exploration.

In this theoretical exploration, we delve into potential geopolitical reconfigurations of Ukraine's territory in response to hypothetical outcomes of prolonged conflict. Maintaining a position of strict neutrality, we utilize historical analogies and geopolitical logic to ponder the shape of a post-conflict landscape. This study is strategic and analytical, without any political advocacy or forecast.

Introduction

Clarification of speculative and neutral approach This study adopts a speculative lens, designed to engage with geopolitical possibilities in a manner detached from current political alignments and biases. The neutral approach underscores our commitment to objective analysis, ensuring that the scenarios discussed are purely theoretical, neither endorsing nor opposing any particular geopolitical developments.

Zakharova's claim as a starting point for theoretical exploration The commentary from Russian Foreign Ministry Spokeswoman Maria Zakharova positing that certain global powers have intentions to divide Ukraine provides an initial narrative thrust for this inquiry. While Zakharova's claim is the impetus for our exploration, it serves not as a presumption of truth but as a hypothetical scenario to explore the downstream implications of such a proposition.

Historical antecedents to territorial reconfigurations To ground our speculation, we survey historical instances where territorial division has been a reality—instances where sovereign states have been dissected and absorbed by other entities. This retrospective serves to inform potential futures with the understanding that while history does not repeat itself, it often echoes in the corridors of contemporary geopolitics.

Overview of Ukraine's geopolitical significance The geopolitical significance of Ukraine is multifaceted, reflecting its strategic location as a

bridge between Europe and Russia, its economic potential, and its cultural and historical relevance. This segment lays the groundwork for understanding why various international actors may harbor interests in Ukraine's territorial fate, thus setting the stage for a thoughtful exploration of hypothetical reconfigurations in a post-conflict scenario.

Historical Precedents

Case studies of territorial reconfigurations The canvas of history is replete with instances of territorial reconfiguration. We explore a series of case studies, such as the partition of the Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth, the division of the Ottoman Empire post-World War I, the Balkanization of Yugoslavia, and the partition of India and Pakistan. Each case study will dissect the reasons behind the territorial changes—be they political, cultural, or otherwise—and examine the processes by which these changes were effected.

Relevance to current geopolitical frameworks The historical cases are not merely archival curiosities but live within the DNA of present-day geopolitical structures. The study will scrutinize how past reconfigurations resonate within and influence current geopolitical frameworks. It will consider the roles played by global powers, international alliances, and legal precedents that continue to shape the norms and expectations of state behavior in territorial matters.

Lessons from history applied to theoretical scenarios Drawing lessons from the examined historical episodes, this segment contemplates their application to the hypothetical future scenarios of Ukraine. It seeks to identify patterns, triggers, and outcomes that might inform or parallel a potential reconfiguration in Ukraine, considering factors such as national identity, cultural cohesion, and the international community's response to shifts in territorial sovereignty.

Conceptualizing Theoretical Reconfigurations

Basis for potential territorial divisions In envisioning the theoretical reconfigurations of Ukraine, the study will delineate the grounds upon which potential territorial divisions could be rationalized. This includes geographic demarcations such as rivers and mountain ranges, infrastructural factors like transportation networks and economic hubs, as well as administrative boundaries with historical or political significance. The examination will also address the role of "natural borders" and how such concepts have historically been used to justify changes in sovereignty.

Factors influencing hypothetical realignments The realignment of borders is rarely a consequence of a single determinant. A matrix of factors will be considered, encompassing geopolitical strategies, security concerns, economic dependencies, international legal standings, and the inertia of historical claims. Additionally, the influence of international organizations, the precedent of diplomatic recognitions, and the domino effects of regional instability will be scrutinized for their potential to sway the delineation of territories in the theoretical scenario.

Cultural, linguistic, and ethnic considerations Human geography, with its tapestry of cultural, linguistic, and ethnic identities, forms a crucial component of territorial identity and, consequently, the legitimacy of territorial claims. The study will evaluate the cultural, linguistic, and ethnic distributions within Ukraine and how these might inform or complicate the theoretical divisions. This segment will also engage with the notion of self-determination and the extent to which it could be invoked to support or challenge the reconfiguration of borders within Ukraine.

Regional Actors and Hypothetical Interests

Identifying potential regional stakeholders This section will delineate the array of regional stakeholders who might have vested interests in the

hypothetical reconfiguration of Ukraine. It will highlight not only the immediate neighbors of Ukraine but also other regional powers that may view Ukraine's geopolitical shifts as impinging on their own security, economic interests, or spheres of influence. The analysis will include nation-states, transnational organizations, and non-state actors, assessing their potential roles and reactions within a reimagined geopolitical landscape.

Historical ties and cultural linkages Historical and cultural ties often underpin the interests of regional stakeholders in the geopolitical fate of a nation. This subsection will delve into the complex web of historical affiliations—ethnic minorities, cultural communities, historical borders, and past political unions—that regional actors share with different parts of Ukraine. It will examine how these ties could theoretically inform or motivate claims, support, or opposition to the division of Ukrainian territory.

Security concerns and strategic interests The security paradigm of Eastern Europe is interwoven with Ukraine's territorial integrity. This segment will explore how theoretical territorial shifts could alter the security calculus for regional actors. Strategic interests such as military alliances, energy routes, access to resources, and trade connections will be thoroughly analyzed. Moreover, it will assess how changes in the balance of power could reshape security architectures, including NATO's eastern flank and Russia's near abroad strategy. The potential for new security dilemmas, military confrontations, or shifts in defense postures in response to the speculative reconfiguration will be key points of discussion.

Potential Scenarios for Theoretical Division

Eastern regions in the context of Russian linguistic and cultural influence The eastern parts of Ukraine, characterized by a significant Russian-speaking population and historical ties to Russia, present a complex scenario in the context of a theoretical division. This section will

explore how linguistic, cultural, and historical affinities could serve as a basis for hypothetical claims or influence operations. It will also address the strategic implications for Russia, considering factors like security, access to the Black Sea, and economic interests in the region's industrial and resource-rich areas. The potential for local separatism, influenced by cultural and linguistic identity, and its impact on regional stability will be analyzed.

Western regions and historical European connections Western Ukraine, with its strong historical and cultural ties to Central European nations such as Poland, Hungary, and Slovakia, represents a distinct scenario. This part of the country has a history of being part of the Polish-Lithuanian Commonwealth, Austro-Hungarian Empire, and other Central European states, which could inform hypothetical interests or interventions. The analysis will delve into the potential for European integration, NATO expansion, and the impact on EU-Russia relations. It will also examine how these historical connections might influence the aspirations and identities of the local populations in the context of a theoretical reconfiguration.

Central Ukraine and its geopolitical significance The central region of Ukraine, including the capital, Kyiv, is crucial for the country's identity, governance, and economic vitality. This segment will speculate on the strategic importance of Central Ukraine in the event of a theoretical division, considering its role as the administrative and political heartland. It will assess the implications for national unity, control over critical infrastructure, and access to key agricultural and economic resources. The potential for international recognition, legitimacy of governance, and impact on Ukraine's ability to maintain sovereignty and territorial integrity will be key points of discussion.

Framework for Speculation

Principles of geopolitical analysis This segment lays out the foundational principles guiding our speculative inquiry into Ukraine's future territorial configurations. Geopolitical analysis offers a lens through which to understand the interactions between geographical realities, power dynamics, and political strategies. We discuss methodologies for evaluating the strategic significance of territories, the geopolitical interests of different actors, and how geographical factors influence political decisions. This framework emphasizes the importance of historical context, resource distribution, and strategic chokepoints in shaping geopolitical outcomes.

The role of international relations theory International relations (IR) theory provides critical insights into the behavior of states and non-state actors on the global stage. This section explores how different theoretical perspectives—realism, liberalism, constructivism, and others—can be applied to understand the potential division of Ukraine. Realism focuses on the pursuit of power and state interests, liberalism on cooperation and international norms, and constructivism on the role of identities and discourses. By applying these theories, we can speculate on how states might rationalize their actions, the potential for international cooperation or conflict, and the impact of national identities on the geopolitical landscape.

Considering the impact of international law International law plays a crucial role in regulating state behavior, particularly in matters of sovereignty and territorial integrity. This part of the paper will examine how international legal principles, such as the prohibition of aggression, the right to self-determination, and the inviolability of borders, might influence or constrain the actions of involved actors in a theoretical division of Ukraine. It will also explore the mechanisms for dispute resolution and the role of international institutions like the United Nations and the International Court of Justice. By considering the impact of international

law, we can speculate on the legitimacy and acceptability of potential territorial reconfigurations on the international stage.

Implications of Theoretical Divisions

For Ukraine's governance and territorial integrity The theoretical division of Ukraine poses profound implications for the country's governance structures, political unity, and territorial integrity. This section will explore how such divisions could lead to a reconfiguration of national identity, shifts in political power bases, and alterations in governance models. The potential fragmentation of Ukraine's territorial integrity may necessitate the formation of new administrative entities, redefinition of citizenship, and reallocation of resources and economic assets. The impact on social cohesion, public administration, and the ability to maintain a coherent national policy in areas such as foreign relations and defense will also be examined.

For regional security and alliances The speculative division of Ukraine could significantly alter the security landscape of Eastern Europe and beyond. This segment will analyze how changes in Ukraine's territorial configuration might affect regional security dynamics, including the balance of power between NATO and Russia, the security concerns of neighboring countries, and the potential for new security alliances or conflicts. The impact on existing security agreements, military postures, and defense strategies will be scrutinized, as well as the implications for conflict prevention and crisis management mechanisms in the region.

For international norms and stability A theoretical division of Ukraine could challenge and potentially reshape international norms related to sovereignty, territorial integrity, and the non-use of force. This part of the analysis will discuss the potential consequences for the international legal order, including the precedents such actions might set for other territorial disputes or secessionist movements. The impact on global stability,

including the risks of escalation in other geopolitical hotspots and the strain on international institutions designed to uphold peace and security, will be considered. Additionally, the role of major powers in enforcing or undermining these norms, and the implications for the international community's ability to manage future conflicts, will be critically evaluated.

International Law and Norms

Legal perspectives on territorial divisions This section delves into the legal frameworks that govern territorial divisions and the secession of regions from sovereign states. It outlines the principles enshrined in the United Nations Charter, particularly those emphasizing the sovereignty and territorial integrity of states, and how these principles are juxtaposed with the right to self-determination. The discussion extends to the conditions under which international law permits changes to state territories and the legal mechanisms through which such changes must be enacted. It will also address the contentious issue of unilateral secession versus consensual partition or reconfiguration, exploring the legal and ethical considerations that accompany such processes.

Precedents from international adjudication Precedents set by international courts and tribunals, including the International Court of Justice (ICJ) and ad hoc tribunals, provide critical insights into how international law has been applied to territorial disputes and secessionist claims. This segment reviews landmark cases that have shaped the legal landscape regarding territorial division and secession, such as the advisory opinion on Kosovo's declaration of independence, the Bakassi Peninsula ruling between Nigeria and Cameroon, and the Crimea annexation by Russia. These cases help elucidate the criteria and considerations that international adjudication bodies apply in resolving disputes over territory and sovereignty.

The doctrine of *uti possidetis juris* and self-determination The doctrine of *uti possidetis juris*, originally a principle in Roman law, has become a fundamental concept in international law, dictating that newly formed sovereign states should retain the existing external borders they had at the time of independence. This principle is often in tension with the right to self-determination, which allows peoples to determine their political status and pursue their economic, social, and cultural development. This section explores how these two principles have interacted and sometimes conflicted in the decolonization process and in the aftermath of state dissolution or secession movements. It examines how the international community has balanced the preservation of colonial-era borders with the aspirations of ethnic or cultural groups seeking autonomy or independence, highlighting the legal and moral challenges involved in reconciling these principles.

Ethical Considerations

The responsibility towards civilian populations At the heart of any geopolitical reconfiguration lies the fundamental responsibility to protect and prioritize the well-being of civilian populations affected by such changes. This section examines the ethical obligations of state and non-state actors to ensure that any actions taken do not harm civilians and to safeguard their rights and freedoms. It discusses the potential consequences of territorial divisions on access to resources, displacement, and the risk of violence, emphasizing the need for humanitarian considerations to guide political decisions. The principle of "do no harm" is explored as a cornerstone of ethical geopolitical engagement, stressing the importance of measures to prevent civilian suffering and to provide support for those displaced or otherwise impacted by territorial disputes.

The impact on national identities The reconfiguration of territorial boundaries can have profound effects on the national identities of affected populations, challenging their sense of belonging and potentially igniting

or exacerbating ethnic, linguistic, or cultural tensions. This segment delves into the ethical considerations surrounding the preservation of cultural heritage and the rights of minority groups within the context of geopolitical changes. It discusses the importance of inclusive dialogue and respect for diverse identities in the process of negotiating territorial divisions, highlighting the potential for identity-based conflicts to arise from exclusionary or coercive political actions. The role of education, cultural preservation, and reconciliation efforts in fostering a sense of unity and mutual respect among diverse communities is also explored.

Ethical imperatives in geopolitical speculation Engaging in speculative analysis of geopolitical scenarios carries its own set of ethical responsibilities, particularly in terms of framing discussions in a way that respects the sovereignty of nations and the dignity of individuals. This section reflects on the ethical imperatives for scholars, policymakers, and analysts when conjecturing about potential geopolitical developments. It emphasizes the need for a careful and considered approach that avoids sensationalism and respects the complex realities of those living in contested or conflict-prone regions. The importance of grounding speculative discussions in a framework of international law, human rights, and a commitment to peaceful resolution of disputes is highlighted, underscoring the role of ethical considerations in shaping a constructive and responsible discourse on future geopolitical configurations.

Conclusion

Summary of theoretical insights This paper has navigated through the intricate labyrinth of potential geopolitical reconfigurations of Ukraine, drawing upon a rich tapestry of historical precedents, legal frameworks, and ethical considerations. The exploration has unveiled the complexity of territorial divisions and their profound implications on governance, regional security, international norms, and the very fabric of national identity. Through the lens of speculative analysis, we have illuminated the

multifaceted interests of regional stakeholders, the delicate balance between self-determination and territorial integrity, and the paramount importance of safeguarding civilian populations and preserving cultural heritage.

Reiterating the neutrality and speculative nature of analysis It is imperative to underscore that the analyses and scenarios presented in this paper are purely speculative, rooted in a commitment to neutral and objective inquiry. The intention has never been to advocate for or predict specific geopolitical outcomes but rather to engage in a thought exercise that highlights the potential complexities and ramifications of territorial reconfigurations. This approach serves as a reminder of the responsibility that accompanies the discussion of such sensitive topics, emphasizing the need for careful consideration and respect for the principles of sovereignty and self-determination.

Reflection on the utility and limits of historical analogy in contemporary geopolitics The application of historical analogy in our speculative exploration has provided valuable insights, yet it also brings to light the inherent limitations of drawing direct parallels between past and present. While history offers lessons and patterns that can inform our understanding of potential futures, the unique contexts, evolving international norms, and technological advancements of the contemporary world necessitate a cautious application of these analogies. The dynamic nature of international relations and the unpredictable interplay of global forces mean that history, while instructive, cannot fully predict the outcomes of today's geopolitical challenges. Reflecting on these considerations reinforces the value of historical perspective in enriching our understanding, even as we navigate the uncharted waters of future geopolitical configurations.

